§ 807.97

sion for the device for an additional time when any of the following occurs:

- (1) The Commissioner requests in writing additional information regarding the device pursuant to §807.87(h), in which case the Commissioner will not disclose the existence of the submission until 90 days after the Food and Drug Administration's receipt of a complete premarket notification submission;
- (2) The Commissioner determines that the device intended to be introduced is a class III device and cannot be marketed without premarket approval or reclassification, in which case the Commissioner will not disclose the existence of the submission unless a petition for reclassification is submitted under section 513(f)(2) of the act and its existence can be disclosed under §860.5(d) of this chapter; or
- (d) FDA will make a 510(k) summary of the safety and effectiveness data available to the public within 30 days of the issuance of a determination that the device is substantially equivalent to another device. Accordingly, even when a 510(k) submitter has complied with the conditions set forth in paragraphs (b) and (c) of this section, confidentiality for a premarket notification submission cannot be granted beyond 30 days after FDA issues a determination of equivalency.
- (e) Data or information submitted with, or incorporated by reference in, a premarket notification submission (other than safety and effectiveness data that have not been disclosed to the public) shall be available for disclosure by the Food and Drug Administration when the intent to market the device is no longer confidential in accordance with this section, unless exempt from public disclosure in accordance with Part 20 of this chapter. Upon final classification, data and information relating to safety and effectiveness of a device classified in class I (general controls) or class II (performance standards) shall be available for public disclosure. Data and information relating to safety and effectiveness of a device classified in class III (premarket approval) that have not been released to the public shall be retained as confidential unless such data and information become available for release to the

public under §860.5(d) or other provisions of this chapter.

[42 FR 42526, Aug. 23, 1977, as amended at 53 FR 11252, Apr. 6, 1988; 57 FR 18067, Apr. 28, 1992; 59 FR 64296, Dec. 14, 1994]

§807.97 Misbranding by reference to premarket notification.

Submission of a premarket notification in accordance with this subpart, and a subsequent determination by the Commissioner that the device intended for introduction into commercial distribution is substantially equivalent to a device in commercial distribution before May 28, 1976, or is substantially equivalent to a device introduced into commercial distribution after May 28, 1976, that has subsequently been reclassified into class I or II, does not in any way denote official approval of the device. Any representation that creates an impression of official approval of a device because of complying with the premarket notification regulations is misleading and constitutes misbrand-

§807.100 FDA action on a premarket notification.

- (a) After review of a premarket notification, FDA will:
- (1) Issue an order declaring the device to be substantially equivalent to a legally marketed predicate device;
- (2) Issue an order declaring the device to be not substantially equivalent to any legally marketed predicate device;
- (3) Request additional information; or
- (4) Advise the applicant that the premarket notification is not required. Until the applicant receives an order declaring a device substantially equivalent, the applicant may not proceed to market the device.
- (b) FDA will determine that a device is substantially equivalent to a predicate device using the following criteria:
- (1) The device has the same intended use as the predicate device; and
 - (2) The device:
- (i) Has the same technological characteristics as the predicate device; or
- (ii)(A) Has different technological characteristics, such as a significant change in the materials, design, energy

source, or other features of the device from those of the predicate device;

(B) The data submitted establishes that the device is substantially equivalent to the predicate device and contains information, including clinical data if deemed necessary by the Commissioner, that demonstrates that the device is as safe and as effective as a legally marketed device; and

(C) Does not raise different questions of safety and effectiveness than the predicate device.

(3) The predicate device has not been removed from the market at the initiative of the Commissioner of Food and Drugs or has not been determined to be misbranded or adulterated by a judicial order.

[57 FR 58403, Dec. 10, 1992]

PART 808—EXEMPTIONS FROM FEDERAL PREEMPTION OF STATE AND LOCAL MEDICAL DEVICE REQUIREMENTS

Subpart A—General Provisions

. .

808.1 Scope.

808.3 Definitions.

808.5 Advisory opinions.

Subpart B—Exemption Procedures

808.20 Application.

808.25 Procedures for processing an application.

808.35 Revocation of an exemption.

Subpart C—Listing of Specific State and Local Exemptions

808.53 Arizona.

808.55 California

808.57 Connecticut.

808.59 Florida.

808.61 Hawaii.

808.67 Kentucky.

808.69 Maine. 808.71 Massachi

808.71 Massachusetts. 808.73 Minnesota.

808.74 Mississippi.

808.77 Nebraska.

808.80 New Jersey.

808.81 New Mexico. 808.82 New York.

808.85 Ohio.

808.87 Oregon.

808.88 Pennsylvania.

808.89 Rhode Island.

808.93 Texas.

808.97 Washington.

808.98 West Virginia. 808.101 District of Columbia.

AUTHORITY: Secs. 521, 701 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (21 U.S.C. 360k, 371).

SOURCE: 43 FR 18665, May 2, 1978, unless otherwise noted.

Subpart A—General Provisions

§808.1 Scope.

(a) This part prescribes procedures for the submission, review, and approval of applications for exemption from Federal preemption of State and local requirements applicable to medical devices under section 521 of the act.

(b) Section 521(a) of the act contains special provisions governing the regulation of devices by States and localities. That section prescribes a general rule that after May 28, 1976, no State or political subdivision of a State may establish or continue in effect any requirement with respect to a medical device intended for human use having the force and effect of law (whether established by statute, ordinance, regulation, or court decision), which is different from, or in addition to, any requirement applicable to such device under any provision of the act and which relates to the safety or effectiveness of the device or to any other matter included in a requirement applicable to the device under the act.

(c) Section 521(b) of the act contains a provision whereby the Commissioner of Food and Drugs may, upon application by a State or political subdivision, allow imposition of a requirement which is different from, or in addition to, any requirement applicable under the act to the device (and which is thereby preempted) by promulgating a regulation in accordance with this part exempting the State or local requirement from preemption. The granting of an exemption does not affect the applicability to the device of any requirements under the act. The Commissioner may promulgate an exemption regulation for the preempted requirement if he makes either of the following findings:

(1) That the requirement is more stringent than a requirement under the act applicable to the device; or